



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/933,739	08/22/2001	James E. Croley	1191.05	6927
7590 06/07/2004 MELVIN K. SILVERMAN			EXAMINER	
			HUYNH, BA	
500 WEST CYPRESS CREEK ROAD SUITE 500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33309			2173	1-
			DATE MAILED: 06/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X

		\sim			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/933,739	CROLEY, JAMES E.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ba Huynh	2173			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the construction of the constructi	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)		PRIMARY EXAMINER			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/933,739

Art Unit: 2173

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over US Patent Application 2003/0220891 (Fish).
 - As for claims 1, 7, 8: Fish teaches a computer implemented method of entering, updating, and displaying of related data on a single screen page (see the abstract), comprising the steps of: configuring a data form (figure 1a) intended for real-time use, during a physical examination or a technical inspection (xxx0001), into a geometry sufficient to display the entirety thereof upon a single screen page of a computer monitor (0039), providing a plurality of menus, each includes a selectable subset of menus displayed in a drop-down list having alpha-type entries for a plurality of data fields 154b (figures 1a,b),

storing each completed data form thereby defining a historical data form (0019), 0103,

designating certain data fields thereof as key default data fields (0092 - 0094), and

Application/Control Number: 09/933,739

Art Unit: 2173

displaying on a single screen all data entries of the key default data entries (figure 12).

Furukawa fails to clearly teach that the method/system is intended to be used during a physical examination or technical inspection. However, the intended field of use in physical examination does not distinguish over Furukawa's generic teaching of information management (0001-0002). Furukawa's disclosure is capable for managing information in physical examination, and thus the entering of physical examination information would have been an obvious intended field of use.

- As for claim 2: The default fields are dynamically linked to a plurality of reference menus corresponding to prior generations of the same subject matter (0092-0094; 0101-0103).
- As for claim 3: A report addressable to a third party is generated (0054; figure 3).
- As for claims 4, 5: Dynamically linked graphical annotations are provided for the user to enter comments (0045).
- As for claim 6: Furukawa fails to clearly teach that the dynamically linked menu includes at least a dynamically linked submenu. However Official notice is taken that implementation of menu hierarchy having submenu is well known in the art of menu interface. It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the well known implementation of menu hierarchy to Furukawa. Motivation of the combining is for presenting the menu in a hierarchy order.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ba Huynh whose telephone number is (703) 305-9794. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached on (703) 308-3116. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ba Huynh

Primary Examiner

AU 2173 5/29/04

PRIMARY EXAMINER